UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,839	07/23/2003	George J. Brewer	30275/40887	9547
** **	7590 11/24/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAMINER	
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 SEARS TOWER			FAY, ZOHREH A	
CHICAGO, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/625,839	BREWER ET AL.			
On	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		ZOHREH A. FAY	1612			
The M Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of til after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 NoTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ed by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 A</u>	<u>ugust 2009</u> .				
2a)∏ This ac	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since t	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of C	laims					
4a) Of t 5)	s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application he above claim(s) is/are withdra's) is/are withdra's) is/are allowed. s) 1-27 is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Pap	ers					
10)∏ The dra Applical Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examine wing(s) filed on is/are: a) account may not request that any objection to the ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct h or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1612

Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.

The response to the restriction requirement of August 18, 2009 has been received and entered.

Applicant's arguments regarding the restriction requirement are deemed to be persuasive, and such requirement is hereby withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-89 of U.S. Patent No. 7,189,865.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap. The claims of the instant application are drawn to a composition of a tetraalkylammonium tetrathiomolybdate compound individually or in combination with a secondary component. The claims of the U.S. Patent are drawn to

Art Unit: 1612

specific tetraalkylammonium tetrathiomolybdate individually or in combination with the same secondary ingredients as claimed herein. The claims of the US Patent are within scope of the claims of the instant application, considering that they encompass the compounds and the composition as claimed herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1612

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiver et al. (US 4,430,443) in view of WO 00/13712.

Seiver et al. teach the use of the claimed compounds, tetraalkylammonium tetrathiomolybdate as old and being used in a composition. See column 5, lines 17-67, column 7, lines 10-20 and claims 11-20. The above reference differs from the claimed invention in the use of the secondary components and different routes of administration, in the form of pills, capsules, injection. The WO Patent teaches the use of different pharmaceutical acceptable forms and route of administration for compounds having tetrathiomolybdate as old and well known. WO Patent also teaches the addition of secondary components to the compounds having tetrathiomolybdate. See page 4, lines 22-30, claims 35, 44 and 45. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use Seiver et al. composition by oral or injection administration, motivated by WO Patent, which teaches the compositions having tetrathiomolybdate for pharmaceutical use in pharmaceutical acceptable formulations. The addition of secondary active ingredients is also taught by the WO Patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,839 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612